

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/615,184	07/09/2003	Sheng-Hsi Chen	MR957-1354	9089	
4586	7590 12/02/2003		EXAMINER		
	RG, KLEIN & LEE	WILLIAMS, JAMILA O			
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 10 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		JITE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3712		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	i				
		10/615,184	ŀ	CHEN, SHENG-HSI	od				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jamila Will	· · · · =	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
·		· This action is non	ı-final						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.								
٠,٣٩	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen			. 🗖	_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/615,184

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
 which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '148 to Wong in view of '285 to Dehner. Wong discloses a toy comprising a body (10), a roller (14) combined under the body to enable the toy to roll on a surface, characterized by the roller being made of a mixture of thermal plastic rubber and white oil and having some viscosity. The examiner take Official Notice of the equivalence of the thermal plastic rubber and white oil, recited in the claim and the preferred constituents of the roller of Wong (see column 3 lines 3-6 of the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,184

Art Unit: 3712

specification). Wong further teaches that the roller is provided with a center shaft hole (15). Wong does not disclose a shaft having two ends supported by a Cshaped notch of a clamp plate fixed respectively on two inner side of the body. wherein the roller has a plurality of lengthwise convex protrusions spaced apart equidistantly on its outer circumference (fig 1). Dehner teaches the use of Cshaped notches (24 and 25) and shaft for connecting wheels to the inner side of the toy body (column 2 lines 10-24 of the specification). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the shaft and attachment means (i.e. C-shaped notches of a clamp plate) of Dehner with the toy of Wong for the purpose of securely retaining the roller and shaft on the toy so that they cannot be removed by a small child (Dehner, column 1 lines 20-25 of the specification).

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application/Control Number: 10/615,184

Art Unit: 3712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-3312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00p.m..

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

JW